Policy Study 436 September 2014



# 21<sup>ST</sup> ANNUAL REPORT ON THE PERFORMANCE OF STATE HIGHWAY SYSTEMS (1984–2012): STATE SUMMARIES

by

David T. Hartgen, Ph.D., P.E. (Maine, Retired), M. Gregory Fields and Baruch Feigenbaum

# **Reason Foundation**

Reason Foundation's mission is to advance a free society by developing, applying and promoting libertarian principles, including individual liberty, free markets and the rule of law. We use journalism and public policy research to influence the frameworks and actions of policymakers, journalists and opinion leaders.

Reason Foundation's nonpartisan public policy research promotes choice, competition and a dynamic market economy as the foundation for human dignity and progress. Reason produces rigorous, peer-reviewed research and directly engages the policy process, seeking strategies that emphasize cooperation, flexibility, local knowledge and results. Through practical and innovative approaches to complex problems, Reason seeks to change the way people think about issues, and promote policies that allow and encourage individuals and voluntary institutions to flourish.

Reason Foundation is a tax-exempt research and education organization as defined under IRS code 501(c)(3). Reason Foundation is supported by voluntary contributions from individuals, foundations and corporations. The views are those of the author, not necessarily those of Reason Foundation or its trustees.



# **Table of Contents**

Alabama 1
Alaska
Arizona
Arkansas 4
California5
Colorado 6
Connecticut
Delaware 8
Florida
Georgia 10
Hawaii
Idaho
Illinois
Indiana
Iowa
Kansas 16
Kentucky 17
Louisiana
Maine 19
Maryland 20
Massachusetts
Michigan
Minnesota
Mississippi
Missouri
Montana
Nebraska
Nevada
New Hampshire
New Jersey

About the Authors
Wyoming
Wisconsin 49
West Virginia
Washington
Virginia
Vermont
Utah 44
Texas
Tennessee
South Dakota 41
South Carolina
Rhode Island
Pennsylvania
Oregon
Oklahoma
Ohio
North Dakota
North Carolina
New York
New Mexico

#### Alabama

Alabama ranks 21<sup>st</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Alabama ranks 36<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 23<sup>rd</sup> in deficient bridges, 32<sup>nd</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 35<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 16<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Alabama ranks 21<sup>st</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 32<sup>nd</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Alabama's best rankings are urban Interstate congestion (16<sup>th</sup>), rural arterial pavement condition (18<sup>th</sup>) and maintenance disbursements per mile (18<sup>th</sup>).

Alabama's worst rankings are fatality rate (36<sup>th</sup>) and urban Interstate pavement condition (35<sup>th</sup>).

Alabama's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 25<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Alabama's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	21 <sup>st</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	28 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	27 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	21
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	26
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	18
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	32
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	32
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	18
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	35
Urban Interstate Congestion	16
Deficient Bridges	23
Fatality Rate	36
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	27
Overall Performance	21

# Alaska



Alaska ranks 49<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Alaska ranks 29<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 26<sup>th</sup> in deficient bridges, 48<sup>th</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 30<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 11<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Alaska ranks 16<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 21<sup>st</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Alaska's best rankings are urban Interstate congestion (11<sup>th</sup>), capital bridge disbursements per mile (14<sup>th</sup>) and total disbursements per mile (16<sup>th</sup>).

Alaska's worst rankings are rural arterial pavement condition (50<sup>th</sup>) and rural Interstate pavement condition (48<sup>th</sup>).

Alaska's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 36<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Alaska's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	49 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	48 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	50 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	16
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	14
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	33
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	21
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	48
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	50
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	30
Urban Interstate Congestion	11
Deficient Bridges	26
Fatality Rate	29
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	20
Overall Performance	49

#### Arizona



Arizona ranks 19<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Arizona ranks  $37^{th}$  in fatality rate,  $2^{nd}$  in deficient bridges,  $26^{th}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $8^{th}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $38^{th}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Arizona ranks 39<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 35<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Arizona's best rankings are narrow rural arterial lanes  $(1^{st})$ , deficient bridges  $(2^{nd})$  and urban Interstate pavement condition  $(8^{th})$ .

Arizona's worst rankings are total disbursements per mile (39<sup>th</sup>) and urban Interstate congestion (38<sup>th</sup>).

Arizona's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 38<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Arizona's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	19 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	21 <sup>st</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	23 <sup>rd</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	39
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	37
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	24
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	35
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	26
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	34
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	8
Urban Interstate Congestion	38
Deficient Bridges	2
Fatality Rate	37
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	1
Overall Performance	19

# Arkansas

Arkansas ranks 35<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Arkansas ranks 46<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 18<sup>th</sup> in deficient bridges, 44<sup>th</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 47<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 18<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Arkansas ranks 9<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 3<sup>rd</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Arkansas's best rankings are administrative disbursements per mile  $(3^{rd})$ , total disbursements per mile  $(9^{th})$  and maintenance disbursements per mile  $(10^{th})$ .

Arkansas's worst rankings are narrow rural arterial lanes (47<sup>th</sup>) and urban Interstate pavement condition (47<sup>th</sup>).

Arkansas's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 16<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Arkansas's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	35 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	37 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	36 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	9
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	12
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	10
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	3
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	44
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	31
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	47
Urban Interstate Congestion	18
Deficient Bridges	18
Fatality Rate	46
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	47
Overall Performance	35

# California

**Fatality Rate** 

Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes

**Overall Performance** 

California

California ranks 45<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

California ranks 9<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 1<sup>st</sup> in deficient bridges, 46<sup>th</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 49<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 46<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, California ranks 46<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 47<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

California's best rankings are deficient bridges (1<sup>st</sup>), fatality rate (9<sup>th</sup>) and narrow rural arterial lanes (33<sup>rd</sup>).

California's worst rankings are maintenance disbursements per mile (49<sup>th</sup>) and urban Interstate pavement condition (49<sup>th</sup>).

California's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 11<sup>th</sup> largest system.

California's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	45 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	46 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	47 <sup>th</sup>
Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	46
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	45
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	49
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	47
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	46
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	35
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	49
Urban Interstate Congestion	46
Deficient Bridges	1

The *Annual Highway Report* is based on spending and performance data submitted by state highway agencies to the federal government for 2012 except on urban Interstate congestion. The federal government has not collected the previously used urban Interstate congestion data since 2009, so this report uses 2011 (the most recent year available) congestion data from the Texas A&M Transportation Institute. The report's dataset includes Interstate, federal and state roads but not county or local roads. Each ranking represents a percentage. For example, the state ranking 1<sup>st</sup> in deficient bridges has the smallest percentage of deficient bridges, not the smallest number of deficient bridges.

9

33

45

# Colorado



Colorado ranks 33<sup>rd</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Colorado ranks 17<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 9<sup>th</sup> in deficient bridges, 43<sup>rd</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 21<sup>st</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 37<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Colorado ranks 29<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 37<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Colorado's best rankings are deficient bridges (9<sup>th</sup>), fatality rate (17<sup>th</sup>) and rural arterial pavement condition (20<sup>th</sup>).

Colorado's worst rankings are rural Interstate pavement condition  $(43^{rd})$ , urban Interstate congestion  $(37^{th})$ , maintenance disbursements per mile  $(37^{th})$  and administrative disbursements per mile  $(37^{th})$ .

Colorado's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 29<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Colorado's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	33 <sup>rd</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	29 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	41 <sup>st</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	29
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	24
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	37
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	37
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	43
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	20
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	21
Urban Interstate Congestion	37
Deficient Bridges	9
Fatality Rate	17
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	31
Overall Performance	33

### Connecticut



Connecticut ranks 44<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Connecticut ranks  $3^{rd}$  in fatality rate,  $45^{th}$  in deficient bridges,  $33^{rd}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $33^{rd}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $23^{rd}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Connecticut ranks 44<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 49<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Connecticut's best rankings are fatality rate (3<sup>rd</sup>), narrow rural lanes (11<sup>th</sup>) and urban Interstate congestion (23<sup>rd</sup>).

Connecticut's worst rankings are administrative disbursements per mile (49<sup>th</sup>) and deficient bridges (45<sup>th</sup>).

Connecticut's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 44<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Connecticut's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	44 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	43 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	44 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	44
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	41
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	28
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	49
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	33
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	45
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	33
Urban Interstate Congestion	23
Deficient Bridges	45
Fatality Rate	3
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	11
Overall Performance	44

## Delaware

Delaware ranks 37<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Delaware ranks 30<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 20<sup>th</sup> in deficient bridges, 41<sup>st</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 39<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion. Delaware has no rural Interstate mileage.

On spending, Delaware ranks 40<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 29<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Delaware's best rankings are narrow rural arterial lanes  $(1^{st})$ , deficient bridges  $(20^{th})$  and capital and bridge disbursements per mile  $(22^{nd})$ .

Delaware's worst rankings are maintenance disbursements per mile (44<sup>th</sup>) and urban Interstate pavement condition (41<sup>st</sup>).

Delaware's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 41<sup>st</sup> largest system.

Delaware's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	37 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	35 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	20 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	40
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	22
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	44
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	29
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	N/A
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	36
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	41
Urban Interstate Congestion	39
Deficient Bridges	20
Fatality Rate	30
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	1
Overall Performance	37

# Florida



Florida ranks 31<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Florida ranks  $32^{nd}$  in fatality rate,  $11^{th}$  in deficient bridges,  $10^{th}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $16^{th}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $50^{th}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Florida ranks 48<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 36<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Florida's best rankings are rural Interstate pavement condition  $(10^{th})$ , deficient bridges  $(11^{th})$ , narrow rural arterial narrow lanes  $(12^{th})$  and rural arterial pavement condition  $(12^{th})$ .

Florida's worst rankings are urban Interstate congestion (50<sup>th</sup>) and capital and bridge disbursements per mile (49<sup>th</sup>).

Florida's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 20<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Florida's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	31 <sup>st</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	33 <sup>rd</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	37 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	48
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	49
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	45
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	36
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	10
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	12
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	16
Urban Interstate Congestion	50
Deficient Bridges	11
Fatality Rate	32
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	12
Overall Performance	31

# Georgia



Georgia ranks 13<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Georgia ranks 23<sup>rd</sup> in fatality rate, 16<sup>th</sup> in deficient bridges, 1<sup>st</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 4<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 44<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Georgia ranks 24<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 39<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Georgia's best rankings are rural Interstate pavement condition  $(1^{st})$ , rural arterial pavement condition  $(2^{nd})$  and urban Interstate pavement condition  $(4^{th})$ .

Georgia's worst rankings are urban Interstate congestion (44<sup>th</sup>) and administrative disbursements per mile (39<sup>th</sup>).

Georgia's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 10<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Georgia's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	13 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	11 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	12 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	24
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	16
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	11
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	39
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	1
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	2
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	4
Urban Interstate Congestion	44
Deficient Bridges	16
Fatality Rate	23
Narrow Rural Lanes	36
Overall Performance	13

#### Hawaii



Hawaii ranks 50<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Hawaii ranks 31<sup>st</sup> in fatality rate, 46<sup>th</sup> in deficient bridges, 49<sup>th</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 50<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 49<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Hawaii ranks 42<sup>nd</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 50<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Hawaii's best rankings are fatality rate  $(31^{th})$ , maintenance disbursements per mile  $(40^{th})$  and total disbursements per mile  $(42^{nd})$ .

Hawaii's worst rankings are administrative disbursements per mile (50<sup>th</sup>) and urban Interstate pavement condition (50<sup>th</sup>).

Hawaii's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 50<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Hawaii's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	50 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	49 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	48 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	42
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	46
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	40
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	50
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	49
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	49
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	50
Urban Interstate Congestion	49
Deficient Bridges	46
Fatality Rate	31
Narrow Rural Lanes	46
Overall Performance	50

## Idaho



Idaho ranks 30<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Idaho ranks 24<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 17<sup>th</sup> in deficient bridges, 42<sup>nd</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 36<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 35<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Idaho ranks 17<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 14<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Idaho's best rankings are percent narrow rural lanes (13<sup>th</sup>), administrative disbursements per mile (14<sup>th</sup>), total disbursements per mile (17th) and deficient bridges (17<sup>th</sup>).

Idaho's worst rankings are rural Interstate pavement condition (42<sup>nd</sup>) and rural arterial pavement condition (42<sup>nd</sup>).

Idaho's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 43<sup>rd</sup> largest system.

Idaho's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	30 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	8 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	17 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	17
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	19
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	20
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	14
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	42
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	42
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	36
Urban Interstate Congestion	35
Deficient Bridges	17
Fatality Rate	24
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	13
Overall Performance	30

#### Illinois



Illinois ranks 27<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Illinois ranks  $12^{th}$  in fatality rate,  $10^{th}$  in deficient bridges,  $1^{st}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $3^{rd}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $47^{th}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Illinois ranks 38<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 34<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Illinois's best rankings are rural Interstate pavement condition  $(1^{st})$ , urban Interstate pavement condition  $(3^{rd})$  and deficient bridges  $(10^{th})$ .

Illinois's worst rankings are urban Interstate congestion (47<sup>th</sup>) and capital and bridge disbursements per mile (43<sup>rd</sup>).

Illinois's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 13<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Illinois's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	27 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	30 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	34 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	38
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	43
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	36
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	34
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	1
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	15
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	3
Urban Interstate Congestion	47
Deficient Bridges	10
Fatality Rate	12
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	35
Overall Performance	27

# Indiana



Indiana ranks 36<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Indiana ranks  $14^{th}$  in fatality rate,  $24^{th}$  in deficient bridges,  $45^{th}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $39^{th}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $43^{rd}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Indiana ranks 33<sup>rd</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 20<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Indiana's best rankings are fatality rate (14<sup>th</sup>), administrative disbursements per mile (20<sup>th</sup>) and deficient bridges (24<sup>th</sup>).

Indiana's worst rankings are rural Interstate pavement condition (45<sup>th</sup>) and urban Interstate congestion (43<sup>rd</sup>).

Indiana's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 24<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Indiana's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	36 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	41 <sup>st</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	22 <sup>nd</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	33
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	38
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	39
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	20
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	45
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	39
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	39
Urban Interstate Congestion	43
Deficient Bridges	24
Fatality Rate	14
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	32
Overall Performance	36

#### lowa



Iowa ranks 18<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Iowa ranks  $26^{th}$  in fatality rate,  $35^{th}$  in deficient bridges,  $17^{th}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $37^{th}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $32^{nd}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Iowa ranks 20<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 15<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Iowa's best rankings are administrative disbursements per mile  $(15^{th})$ , rural Interstate pavement condition  $(17^{th})$  and total disbursements per mile  $(20^{th})$ .

Iowa's worst rankings are rural arterial pavement condition (40<sup>th</sup>) and urban Interstate pavement condition (37<sup>th</sup>).

Iowa's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 31<sup>st</sup> largest system.

Iowa's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	18 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	12 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	33 <sup>rd</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	20
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	28
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	25
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	15
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	17
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	40
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	37
Urban Interstate Congestion	32
Deficient Bridges	35
Fatality Rate	26
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	25
Overall Performance	18

#### Kansas

Kansas ranks 5<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Kansas ranks 33<sup>rd</sup> in fatality rate, 15<sup>th</sup> in deficient bridges, 1<sup>st</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 11<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 3<sup>rd</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Kansas ranks 27th in total disbursements per mile and 17th in administrative disbursements per mile.

Kansas's best rankings are rural Interstate pavement condition  $(1^{st})$ , urban Interstate congestion  $(3^{rd})$  and rural arterial pavement condition  $(5^{th})$ .

Kansas's worst rankings are fatality rate (33<sup>rd</sup>) and total disbursements per mile (27<sup>th</sup>).

Kansas's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 27<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Kansas's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	5 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	3 <sup>rd</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	2 <sup>nd</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	27
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	27
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	14
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	17
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	1
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	5
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	11
Urban Interstate Congestion	3
Deficient Bridges	15
Fatality Rate	33
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	10
Overall Performance	5

# **Kentucky**



Kentucky ranks 10<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Kentucky ranks  $45^{\text{th}}$  in fatality rate,  $42^{\text{nd}}$  in deficient bridges,  $22^{\text{nd}}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $14^{\text{th}}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $25^{\text{th}}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Kentucky ranks 8<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 1<sup>st</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Kentucky's best rankings are administrative disbursements per mile  $(1^{st})$ , total disbursements per mile  $(8^{th})$  and capital and bridge disbursements per mile  $(11^{th})$ .

Kentucky's worst rankings are fatality rate (45<sup>th</sup>) and deficient bridges (42<sup>nd</sup>).

Kentucky's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 8<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Kentucky's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	10 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	26 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	14 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	8
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	11
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	15
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	1
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	22
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	17
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	14
Urban Interstate Congestion	25
Deficient Bridges	42
Fatality Rate	45
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	38
Overall Performance	10

# Louisiana



Louisiana ranks 40<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Louisiana ranks 44<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 39<sup>th</sup> in deficient bridges, 41<sup>st</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 48<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 19<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Louisiana ranks 25<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 19<sup>th</sup> in administrative costs per mile.

Louisiana's best rankings are maintenance disbursements per mile  $(8^{th})$ , administrative disbursements per mile  $(19^{th})$  and urban Interstate congestion  $(19^{th})$ .

Louisiana's worst rankings are urban Interstate pavement condition (48<sup>th</sup>), fatality rate (44<sup>th</sup>) and rural arterial pavement condition (44<sup>th</sup>).

Louisiana's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 14<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Louisiana's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	40 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	24 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	35 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	25
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	23
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	8
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	19
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	41
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	44
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	48
Urban Interstate Congestion	19
Deficient Bridges	39
Fatality Rate	44
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	21
Overall Performance	40

#### Maine



Maine ranks 16<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Maine ranks 25<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 38<sup>th</sup> in deficient bridges, 14<sup>th</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 7<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 17<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Maine ranks 13<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 4<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements mile.

Maine's best rankings are administrative disbursements per mile (4<sup>th</sup>), urban Interstate pavement condition (7<sup>th</sup>) and capital and bridge disbursements per mile (9<sup>th</sup>).

Maine's worst rankings are narrow rural arterial lanes (45<sup>th</sup>) and deficient bridges (38<sup>th</sup>).

Maine's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 33<sup>rd</sup> largest system.

Maine's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	16 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	18 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	29 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	13
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	9
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	27
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	4
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	14
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	14
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	7
Urban Interstate Congestion	17
Deficient Bridges	38
Fatality Rate	25
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	45
Overall Performance	16

# Maryland

Maryland ranks 39<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Maryland ranks 10<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 33<sup>rd</sup> in deficient bridges, 27<sup>th</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 44<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 48<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Maryland ranks 45<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 31<sup>st</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Maryland's best rankings are fatality rate  $(10^{\text{th}})$ , narrow rural arterial lanes  $(14^{\text{th}})$  and rural arterial pavement condition  $(22^{\text{nd}})$ .

Maryland's worst rankings are urban Interstate congestion (48<sup>th</sup>) and maintenance disbursements per mile (48<sup>th</sup>).

Maryland's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 42<sup>nd</sup> largest system.

Maryland's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	39 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	38 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	40 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	45
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	42
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	48
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	31
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	27
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	22
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	44
Urban Interstate Congestion	48
Deficient Bridges	33
Fatality Rate	10
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	14
Overall Performance	39

AUG 'Massachusetts

The Spirit of America

#### Massachusetts

Massachusetts ranks 46<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Massachusetts ranks  $1^{st}$  in fatality rate,  $47^{th}$  in deficient bridges,  $39^{th}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $34^{th}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $28^{th}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Massachusetts ranks 49<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 48<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Massachusetts's best rankings are fatality rate (1<sup>st</sup>), urban Interstate congestion (28<sup>th</sup>) and narrow rural arterial lanes (30<sup>th</sup>).

Massachusetts's worst rankings are total disbursements per mile  $(49^{th})$  administrative disbursements per mile  $(48^{th})$  and capital bridge disbursements per mile  $(48^{th})$ .

Massachusetts's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 46<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Massachusetts's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	46 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	45 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	43 <sup>rd</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	49
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	48
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	46
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	48
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	39
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	47
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	34
Urban Interstate Congestion	28
Deficient Bridges	47
Fatality Rate	1
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	30
Overall Performance	46

# Michigan

Michigan ranks 32<sup>nd</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Michigan ranks 15<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 31<sup>st</sup> in deficient bridges, 40<sup>th</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 38<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 26<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Michigan ranks 31<sup>st</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 22<sup>nd</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Michigan's best rankings are fatality rate  $(15^{\text{th}})$ , rural arterial pavement condition  $(19^{\text{th}})$  and administrative disbursements per mile  $(22^{\text{nd}})$ .

Michigan's worst rankings are rural Interstate pavement condition (40<sup>th</sup>) and urban Interstate pavement condition (38<sup>th</sup>).

Michigan's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 30<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Michigan's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	32 <sup>nd</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	36 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	30 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	31
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	34
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	31
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	22
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	40
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	19
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	38
Urban Interstate Congestion	26
Deficient Bridges	31
Fatality Rate	15
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	37
Overall Performance	32

#### Minnesota



Minnesota ranks 28<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Minnesota ranks  $2^{nd}$  in fatality rate,  $5^{th}$  in deficient bridges,  $37^{th}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $43^{rd}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $24^{th}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Minnesota ranks 19<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 26<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Minnesota's best rankings are fatality rate (2<sup>nd</sup>), deficient bridges (5<sup>th</sup>) and narrow rural arterial lanes (6<sup>th</sup>).

Minnesota's worst rankings are rural arterial pavement condition (43<sup>rd</sup>) and urban Interstate pavement condition (43<sup>rd</sup>)

Minnesota's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 18th largest system.

-
th
it
nd
t

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	19
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	17
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	30
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	26
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	37
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	43
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	43
Urban Interstate Congestion	24
Deficient Bridges	5
Fatality Rate	2
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	6
Overall Performance	28

# Mississippi



Mississippi ranks 8<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Mississippi ranks  $43^{rd}$  in fatality rate,  $21^{st}$  in deficient bridges,  $30^{th}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $6^{th}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $2^{nd}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Mississippi ranks 15<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 16<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Mississippi's best rankings are urban Interstate congestion  $(2^{nd})$ , maintenance disbursements per mile  $(5^{th})$  and urban Interstate pavement condition  $(6^{th})$ .

Mississippi's worst rankings are fatality rate (43<sup>rd</sup>) and rural Interstate pavement condition (30<sup>th</sup>).

Mississippi's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 26<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Mississippi's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	8 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	10 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	10 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	15
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	18
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	5
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	16
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	30
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	7
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	6
Urban Interstate Congestion	2
Deficient Bridges	21
Fatality Rate	43
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	28
Overall Performance	8

# Missouri



Missouri ranks 12<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Missouri ranks 27<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 34<sup>th</sup> in deficient bridges, 23<sup>rd</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 24<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 4<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Missouri ranks 6<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 2<sup>nd</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Missouri's best rankings are administrative disbursements per mile  $(2^{nd})$ , urban Interstate congestion  $(4^{th})$  and capital and bridge disbursements per mile  $(5^{th})$ .

Missouri's worst rankings are narrow rural arterial lanes (39<sup>th</sup>) and deficient bridges (34<sup>th</sup>).

Missouri's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 7<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Missouri's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	12 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	13 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	8 <sup>th</sup>

Deufermenne hu Ceterennin er er	Deuline
Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	6
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	5
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	13
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	2
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	23
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	21
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	24
Urban Interstate Congestion	4
Deficient Bridges	34
Fatality Rate	27
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	39
Overall Performance	12

#### Montana

Montana ranks 9<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Montana ranks 48<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 13<sup>th</sup> in deficient bridges, 28<sup>th</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 18<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 7<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Montana ranks 10<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 10<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Montana's best rankings are urban Interstate congestion (7<sup>th</sup>), administrative disbursements per mile (10<sup>th</sup>) capital and bridge disbursements per mile (10<sup>th</sup>) and total disbursements per mile (10<sup>th</sup>).

Montana's worst rankings are fatality rate (48<sup>th</sup>) and rural arterial pavement condition (37<sup>th</sup>).

Montana's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 23<sup>rd</sup> largest system.

Montana's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	9 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	9 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	5 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	10
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	10
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	12
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	10
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	28
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	37
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	18
Urban Interstate Pavement Congestion	7
Deficient Bridges	13
Fatality Rate	48
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	16
Overall Performance	9

# Nebraska



Nebraska ranks 2<sup>nd</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Nebraska ranks  $22^{nd}$  in fatality rate,  $28^{th}$  in deficient bridges,  $1^{st}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $9^{th}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $12^{th}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Nebraska ranks 5<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 5<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Nebraska's best rankings are rural Interstate pavement condition  $(1^{st})$ , administrative disbursements per mile,  $(5^{th})$  and total disbursements per mile  $(5^{th})$ .

Nebraska's worst rankings are rural arterial pavement condition (30<sup>th</sup>) and deficient bridges (28<sup>th</sup>).

Nebraska's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 28<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Nebraska's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	2 <sup>nd</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	2 <sup>nd</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	6 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	5
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	8
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	17
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	5
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	1
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	30
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	9
Urban Interstate Congestion	12
Deficient Bridges	28
Fatality Rate	22
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	7
Overall Performance	2

**Rural Arterial Pavement Condition** 

Urban Interstate Congestion

Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes

**Deficient Bridges** 

**Overall Performance** 

**Fatality Rate** 

**Urban Interstate Pavement Condition** 

#### Nevada

Nevada ranks 24<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the Annual Highway Report by Reason Foundation.

Nevada ranks 21<sup>st</sup> in fatality rate, 3<sup>rd</sup> in deficient bridges, 29<sup>th</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 26<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 45<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Nevada ranks 35<sup>st</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 41<sup>st</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Nevada's best rankings are rural arterial pavement condition (3<sup>rd</sup>), deficient bridges (3<sup>rd</sup>) and fatality rate (21<sup>st</sup>).

Nevada's worst rankings are urban Interstate congestion (45<sup>th</sup>) and administrative disbursements per mile (41<sup>st</sup>).

Nevada's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 40<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Nevada's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	24 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	16 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	16 <sup>th</sup>
Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Performance by Category in 2012 Total Disbursements per Mile	Ranking 35
Total Disbursements per Mile	35
Total Disbursements per Mile Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	35 36

3

26

45

3

21

26

24

## **New Hampshire**



New Hampshire ranks 23<sup>rd</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

New Hampshire ranks  $8^{th}$  in fatality rate,  $40^{th}$  in deficient bridges,  $35^{th}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $1^{st}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $13^{th}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, New Hampshire ranks 30<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 42<sup>nd</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

New Hampshire's best rankings are urban Interstate pavement condition  $(1^{st})$ , rural arterial narrow lanes  $(1^{st})$  and fatality rate  $(8^{th})$ .

New Hampshire's worst rankings are administrative disbursements per mile (42<sup>nd</sup>) and deficient bridges (40<sup>th</sup>).

New Hampshire's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 45<sup>th</sup> largest system.

New Hampshire's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	23 <sup>rd</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	23 <sup>rd</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	18 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	30
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	20
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	22
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	42
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	35
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	13
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	1
Urban Interstate Congestion	13
Deficient Bridges	40
Fatality Rate	8
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	1
Overall Performance	23

#### **New Jersey**

Garden State

New Jersey ranks 48<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

New Jersey ranks  $5^{th}$  in fatality rate,  $36^{th}$  in deficient bridges,  $31^{st}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $46^{th}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $41^{st}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, New Jersey ranks 50<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 45<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

New Jersey's best rankings are fatality rate (5<sup>th</sup>), narrow rural arterial lanes (19<sup>th</sup>) and rural Interstate pavement condition  $(31^{st})$ .

New Jersey's worst rankings are total disbursements per mile  $(50^{\text{th}})$  maintenance disbursements per mile  $(50^{\text{th}})$  and capital and bridge disbursements per mile  $(50^{\text{th}})$ .

New Jersey's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 47<sup>th</sup> largest system.

New Jersey's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	48 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	47 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	46 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	50
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	50
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	50
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	45
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	31
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	46
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	46
Urban Interstate Congestion	41
Deficient Bridges	36
Fatality Rate	5
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	19
Overall Performance	48

#### **New Mexico**



New Mexico ranks 7<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

New Mexico ranks 39<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 8<sup>th</sup> in deficient bridges, 11<sup>th</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 12<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 10<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, New Mexico ranks 11<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 40<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

New Mexico's best rankings are maintenance disbursements per mile  $(1^{st})$ , capital and bridge disbursements per mile  $(6^{th})$  and rural arterial pavement condition  $(6^{th})$ .

New Mexico's worst rankings are administrative disbursements per mile (40<sup>th</sup>) and fatality rate (39<sup>th</sup>).

New Mexico's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 21<sup>th</sup> largest system.

New Mexico's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	7 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	6 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	لم <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements Per Mile	11
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	6
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	1
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	40
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	11
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	6
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	12
Urban Interstate Congestion	10
Deficient Bridges	8
Fatality Rate	39
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	22
Overall Performance	7

#### **New York**

New York ranks 43<sup>rd</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

New York ranks 11<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 49<sup>th</sup> in deficient bridges, 38<sup>th</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 45<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 36<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, New York ranks 43<sup>rd</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 38<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

New York's best rankings are fatality rate  $(11^{th})$ , rural arterial pavement condition  $(28^{th})$  and urban Interstate congestion  $(36^{th})$ .

New York's worst rankings are deficient bridges (49<sup>th</sup>) and maintenance disbursements per mile (47<sup>th</sup>).

New York's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 15<sup>th</sup> largest system.

New York's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	43 <sup>rd</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	44 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	45 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	43
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	39
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	47
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	38
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	38
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	28
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	45
Urban Interstate Congestion	36
Deficient Bridges	49
Fatality Rate	11
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	43
Overall Performance	43

## **North Carolina**



North Carolina ranks 20<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

North Carolina ranks  $28^{th}$  in fatality rate,  $43^{rd}$  in deficient bridges,  $34^{th}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $22^{nd}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $22^{nd}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, North Carolina ranks 3<sup>rd</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 11<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

North Carolina's best rankings are total disbursements per mile  $(3^{rd})$ , capital and bridge disbursements per mile  $(4^{th})$ , and maintenance disbursements per mile  $(6^{th})$ .

North Carolina's worst rankings are deficient bridges (43<sup>rd</sup>) and narrow rural arterial lanes (40<sup>th</sup>).

North Carolina's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 2<sup>nd</sup> largest system.

North Carolina's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	20 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	17 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	19 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	3
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	4
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	6
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	11
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	34
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	29
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	22
Urban Interstate Congestion	22
Deficient Bridges	43
Fatality Rate	28
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	40
Overall Performance	20

## North Dakota

North Dakota ranks 6<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

North Dakota ranks 47<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 19<sup>th</sup> in deficient bridges, 1<sup>st</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 1<sup>st</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 15<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, North Dakota ranks 14<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 7<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

North Dakota's best rankings are rural Interstate pavement condition  $(1^{st})$ , urban Interstate pavement condition  $(1^{st})$  and maintenance disbursements per mile  $(2^{nd})$ .

North Dakota's worst rankings are fatality rate (47<sup>th</sup>) and capital and bridge disbursements per mile (25<sup>th</sup>).

North Dakota's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 37<sup>th</sup> largest system.

North Dakota's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	6 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	7 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	1 <sup>st</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	14
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	25
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	2
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	7
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	1
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	25
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	1
Urban Interstate Congestion	15
Deficient Bridges	19
Fatality Rate	47
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	9
Overall Performance	6

## Ohio

Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes

**Overall Performance** 



Ohio ranks 14<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Ohio ranks 16<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 25<sup>th</sup> in deficient bridges, 18<sup>th</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 29<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 14<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Ohio ranks 28<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 28<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Ohio's best rankings are rural arterial pavement condition (11<sup>th</sup>), urban Interstate congestion (14<sup>th</sup>) and fatality rate (16<sup>th</sup>).

Ohio's worst rankings are narrow rural arterial lanes (34<sup>th</sup>) and capital and bridge disbursements per mile (33<sup>rd</sup>).

Ohio's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 9<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Ohio's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	14 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	19 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	25 <sup>th</sup>
Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	28
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	33
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	23
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	28
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	18
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	11
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	29
Urban Interstate Congestion	14
Deficient Bridges	25
Fatality Rate	16

The *Annual Highway Report* is based on spending and performance data submitted by state highway agencies to the federal government for 2012 except on urban Interstate congestion. The federal government has not collected the previously used urban Interstate congestion data since 2009, so this report uses 2011 (the most recent year available) congestion data from the Texas A&M Transportation Institute. The report's dataset includes Interstate, federal and state roads but not county or local roads. Each ranking represents a percentage. For example, the state ranking 1<sup>st</sup> in deficient bridges has the smallest percentage of deficient bridges, not the smallest number of deficient bridges.

34

14

## Oklahoma



Oklahoma ranks 22<sup>nd</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Oklahoma ranks  $42^{nd}$  in fatality rate,  $30^{th}$  in deficient bridges,  $19^{th}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $40^{th}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $20^{th}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Oklahoma ranks 22<sup>nd</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 27<sup>th</sup> in administrative costs per mile of state highway.

Oklahoma's best rankings are maintenance disbursements per mile  $(16^{th})$ , rural Interstate pavement condition  $(19^{th})$  and urban Interstate congestion  $(20^{th})$ .

Oklahoma's worst rankings are fatality rate (42<sup>nd</sup>) and urban Interstate pavement condition (40<sup>th</sup>).

Oklahoma's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 19<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Oklahoma's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	22 <sup>nd</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	32 <sup>nd</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	38 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	22
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	31
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	16
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	27
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	19
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	26
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	40
Urban Interstate Congestion	20
Deficient Bridges	30
Fatality Rate	42
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	24
Overall Performance	22

## Oregon



Oregon ranks 26<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Oregon ranks 18<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 27<sup>th</sup> in deficient bridges, 25<sup>th</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 31<sup>st</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 30<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Oregon ranks 32<sup>nd</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 33<sup>rd</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Oregon's best rankings are capital and bridge disbursements per mile (15<sup>th</sup>), narrow rural arterial lanes (18<sup>th</sup>) and fatality rate (18<sup>th</sup>).

Oregon's worst rankings are maintenance disbursements per mile (35<sup>th</sup>) and administrative disbursements per mile (33<sup>rd</sup>).

Oregon's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 34<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Oregon's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	26 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	15 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	13 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	32
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	15
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	35
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	33
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	25
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	32
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	31
Urban Interstate Congestion	30
Deficient Bridges	27
Fatality Rate	18
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	18
Overall Performance	26

## Pennsylvania



Pennsylvania ranks 41<sup>st</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Pennsylvania ranks  $34^{th}$  in fatality rate,  $48^{th}$  in deficient bridges,  $20^{th}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $23^{rd}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $33^{rd}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Pennsylvania ranks 26<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 24<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Pennsylvania's best rankings are rural Interstate pavement condition  $(20^{th})$ , capital and bridge disbursements per mile  $(21^{st})$  and urban Interstate pavement condition  $(23^{rd})$ .

Pennsylvania's worst rankings are narrow rural arterial lanes (50<sup>th</sup>) and deficient bridges (48<sup>th</sup>).

Pennsylvania's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 5<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Pennsylvania's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	41 <sup>st</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	40 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	39 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	26
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	21
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	34
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	24
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	20
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	24
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	23
Urban Interstate Congestion	33
Deficient Bridges	48
Fatality Rate	34
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	50
Overall Performance	41

## **Rhode Island**



Rhode Island ranks 47<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Rhode Island ranks  $7^{\text{th}}$  in fatality rate,  $50^{\text{th}}$  in deficient bridges,  $1^{\text{st}}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $17^{\text{th}}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $31^{\text{st}}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Rhode Island ranks 47<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 44<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Rhode Island's best rankings are rural Interstate pavement condition  $(1^{st})$ , fatality rate  $(7^{th})$  and urban Interstate pavement condition  $(17^{th})$ .

Rhode Island's worst rankings are deficient bridges (50<sup>th</sup>) and rural arterial pavement condition (48<sup>th</sup>).

Rhode Island's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 49<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Rhode Island's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	47 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	50 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	49 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	47
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	47
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	43
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	44
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	1
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	48
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	17
Urban Interstate Congestion	31
Deficient Bridges	50
Fatality Rate	7
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	23
Overall Performance	47

# South Carolina



South Carolina ranks 4<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

South Carolina ranks 49<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 22<sup>nd</sup> in deficient bridges, 13<sup>th</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 15<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 29<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, South Carolina ranks 1<sup>st</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 6<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

South Carolina's best rankings are total disbursements per mile  $(1^{st})$ , capital and bridge disbursements per mile  $(3^{rd})$  and maintenance disbursements per mile  $(4^{th})$ .

South Carolina's worst rankings are fatality rate (49<sup>th</sup>), urban Interstate congestion (29th) and narrow rural arterial lanes (29<sup>th</sup>).

South Carolina's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 4<sup>th</sup> largest system.

South Carolina's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	4 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	5 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	7 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	1
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	3
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	4
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	6
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	13
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	10
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	15
Urban Interstate Congestion	29
Deficient Bridges	22
Fatality Rate	49
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	29
Overall Performance	4

## South Dakota



South Dakota ranks 3<sup>rd</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

South Dakota ranks  $41^{st}$  in fatality rate,  $32^{nd}$  in deficient bridges,  $12^{th}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $13^{th}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $6^{th}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, South Dakota ranks 4<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 13<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

South Dakota's best rankings are maintenance disbursements per mile  $(3^{rd})$ , total disbursements per mile  $(4^{th})$  and urban Interstate congestion  $(6^{th})$ .

South Dakota's worst rankings are fatality rate (41<sup>st</sup>) and deficient bridges (32<sup>nd</sup>).

South Dakota's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 32<sup>nd</sup> largest system.

South Dakota's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	3 <sup>rd</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	1 <sup>st</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	9 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	4
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	7
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	3
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	13
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	12
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	27
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	13
Urban Interstate Congestion	6
Deficient Bridges	32
Fatality Rate	41
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	8
Overall Performance	3

#### Tennessee

Tennessee ranks 17<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Tennessee ranks  $38^{th}$  in fatality rate,  $12^{th}$  in deficient bridges,  $21^{st}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $20^{th}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $21^{st}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Tennessee ranks 18<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 23<sup>rd</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Tennessee's best rankings are deficient bridges  $(12^{th})$ , rural arterial pavement condition  $(16^{th})$  and total disbursements per mile  $(18^{th})$ .

Tennessee's worst rankings are narrow rural arterial lanes (41<sup>st</sup>) and fatality rate (38<sup>th</sup>).

Tennessee's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 17<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Tennessee's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	17 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	20 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	21 <sup>st</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	18
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	29
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	21
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	23
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	21
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	16
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	20
Urban Interstate Congestion	21
Deficient Bridges	12
Fatality Rate	38
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	41
Overall Performance	17

#### Texas



Texas ranks 11<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Texas ranks  $40^{\text{th}}$  in fatality rate,  $14^{\text{th}}$  in deficient bridges,  $24^{\text{th}}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $27^{\text{th}}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $27^{\text{th}}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Texas ranks 23<sup>rd</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 9<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Texas' best rankings are rural arterial pavement condition  $(8^{th})$ , administrative disbursements per mile  $(9^{th})$  and deficient bridges  $(14^{th})$ .

Texas' worst rankings are fatality rate (40<sup>th</sup>) and capital and bridge disbursements per mile (32<sup>nd</sup>).

Texas' state-controlled highway mileage makes it the largest system in the country.

Texas' Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	11 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	14 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	11 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	23
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	32
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	29
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	9
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	24
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	8
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	27
Urban Interstate Congestion	27
Deficient Bridges	14
Fatality Rate	40
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	17
Overall Performance	11

### Utah



Utah ranks 29<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Utah ranks 6<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 4<sup>th</sup> in deficient bridges, 9<sup>th</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 5<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 34<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Utah ranks 41<sup>st</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 46<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Utah's best rankings are narrow rural arterial lanes (1<sup>st</sup>), rural arterial pavement condition (4<sup>th</sup>) and deficient bridges (4<sup>th</sup>).

Utah's worst rankings are administrative disbursements per mile  $(46^{th})$ , maintenance disbursements per mile  $(41^{st})$  and total disbursements per mile  $(41^{st})$ .

Utah's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 39<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Utah's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	29 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	27 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	26 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	41
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	40
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	41
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	46
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	9
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	4
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	5
Urban Interstate Congestion	34
Deficient Bridges	4
Fatality Rate	6
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	1
Overall Performance	29

## Vermont



Vermont ranks 38<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Vermont ranks 20<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 41<sup>st</sup> in deficient bridges, 15<sup>th</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 10<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 5<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Vermont ranks 34<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 43<sup>rd</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Vermont's best rankings are urban Interstate congestion ( $5^{\text{th}}$ ), urban Interstate pavement condition ( $10^{\text{th}}$ ) and rural Interstate pavement condition ( $15^{\text{th}}$ ).

Vermont's worst rankings are administrative disbursements per mile  $(43^{rd})$ , maintenance disbursements per mile  $(42^{nd})$  and narrow rural arterial lanes  $(42^{nd})$ .

Vermont's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 48<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Vermont's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	38 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	39 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	28 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	34
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	30
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	42
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	43
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	15
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	41
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	10
Urban Interstate Congestion	5
Deficient Bridges	41
Fatality Rate	20
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	42
Overall Performance	38

# Virginia

Virginia ranks 25<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Virginia ranks 13<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 29<sup>th</sup> in deficient bridges, 8<sup>th</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 19<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 40<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Virginia ranks 7<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 12<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Virginia's best rankings are rural arterial pavement condition  $(1^{st})$ , capital and bridge disbursements per mile  $(1^{st})$  and total disbursements per mile  $(7^{th})$ .

Virginia's worst rankings are narrow rural arterial lanes (48<sup>th</sup>) and urban Interstate congestion (40<sup>th</sup>).

Virginia's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 3<sup>rd</sup> largest system.

Virginia's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	25 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	22 <sup>nd</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	15 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	7
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	1
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	32
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	12
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	8
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	1
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	19
Urban Interstate Congestion	40
Deficient Bridges	29
Fatality Rate	13
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	48
Overall Performance	25

# Washington



Washington ranks 42<sup>nd</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Washington ranks  $4^{th}$  in fatality rate,  $37^{th}$  in deficient bridges,  $47^{th}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $42^{nd}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $42^{nd}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Washington ranks 37<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 25<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Washington's best rankings are fatality rate  $(4^{th})$ , rural arterial pavement condition  $(23^{rd})$  and administrative disbursements per mile  $(25^{th})$ .

Washington's worst rankings are rural Interstate pavement condition  $(47^{th})$ , narrow rural arterial narrow lanes  $(44^{th})$  and capital and bridge disbursements per mile  $(44^{th})$ .

Washington's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 12<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Washington's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	42 <sup>nd</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	42 <sup>nd</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	24 <sup>th</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	37
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	44
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	38
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	25
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	47
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	23
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	42
Urban Interstate Congestion	42
Deficient Bridges	37
Fatality Rate	4
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	44
Overall Performance	42

# West Virginia

West Virginia ranks 34<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

West Virginia ranks 50<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 44<sup>th</sup> in deficient bridges, 36<sup>th</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 25<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 9<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, West Virginia ranks 2<sup>nd</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 8<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

West Virginia's best rankings are total disbursements per mile  $(2^{nd})$ , capital and bridge disbursements per mile  $(2^{nd})$  and maintenance disbursements per mile  $(7^{th})$ .

West Virginia's worst rankings are fatality rate (50<sup>th</sup>) and narrow rural arterial lanes (49<sup>th</sup>).

West Virginia's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 6<sup>th</sup> largest system.

West Virginia's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	34 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	34 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	32 <sup>nd</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	2
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	2
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	7
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	8
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	36
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	33
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	25
Urban Interstate Congestion	9
Deficient Bridges	44
Fatality Rate	50
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	49
Overall Performance	34

## Wisconsin

MISCONSIN

Wisconsin ranks 15<sup>th</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Wisconsin ranks 19<sup>th</sup> in fatality rate, 7<sup>th</sup> in deficient bridges, 16<sup>th</sup> in rural Interstate pavement condition, 28<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate pavement condition and 8<sup>th</sup> in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Wisconsin ranks 36<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 30<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Wisconsin's best rankings are deficient bridges (7<sup>th</sup>), urban Interstate congestion (8<sup>th</sup>) and narrow rural arterial lanes (15<sup>th</sup>).

Wisconsin's worst rankings are rural arterial pavement condition (38<sup>th</sup>) and total disbursements per mile (36<sup>th</sup>).

Wisconsin's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 22<sup>nd</sup> largest system.

Wisconsin's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	15 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	25 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	31 <sup>st</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	36
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	35
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	19
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	30
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	16
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	38
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	28
Urban Interstate Congestion	8
Deficient Bridges	7
Fatality Rate	19
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	15
Overall Performance	15

# Wyoming



Wyoming ranks 1<sup>st</sup> in the nation in highway performance and cost-effectiveness in the *Annual Highway Report* by Reason Foundation.

Wyoming ranks  $35^{th}$  in fatality rate,  $6^{th}$  in deficient bridges,  $1^{st}$  in rural Interstate pavement condition,  $32^{nd}$  in urban Interstate pavement condition and  $1^{st}$  in urban Interstate congestion.

On spending, Wyoming ranks 12<sup>th</sup> in total disbursements per mile and 18<sup>th</sup> in administrative disbursements per mile.

Wyoming's best rankings are rural Interstate pavement condition  $(1^{st})$ , urban Interstate congestion  $(1^{st})$  and narrow rural arterial lanes  $(1^{st})$ .

Wyoming's worst rankings are fatality rate (35<sup>th</sup>) and urban Interstate pavement condition (32<sup>nd</sup>).

Wyoming's state-controlled highway mileage makes it the 35<sup>th</sup> largest system.

Wyoming's Complete Results	Ranking
Overall Rank in 2012:	1 <sup>st</sup>
Overall Rank in 2011:	4 <sup>th</sup>
Overall Rank in 2009:	3 <sup>rd</sup>

Performance by Category in 2012	Ranking
Total Disbursements per Mile	12
Capital and Bridge Disbursements per Mile	13
Maintenance Disbursements per Mile	9
Administrative Disbursements per Mile	18
Rural Interstate Pavement Condition	1
Rural Arterial Pavement Condition	9
Urban Interstate Pavement Condition	32
Urban Interstate Congestion	1
Deficient Bridges	6
Fatality Rate	35
Narrow Rural Arterial Lanes	1
Overall Performance	1

# **About the Authors**

**David T. Hartgen, Ph.D., P.E. (Maine, Retired)** is a senior fellow at Reason Foundation and emeritus professor of Transportation Studies at the University of North Carolina at Charlotte, where he established the Center for Interdisciplinary Transportation Studies. He now heads The Hartgen Group (www.hartgengroup.net), a consulting company specializing in transportation planning. Before coming to Charlotte in 1989, he held senior analysis positions at the New York State Department of Transportation and was a policy analyst at the Federal Highway Administration. He is the author of about 365 papers and reports on transportation policy and planning, is U.S. co-editor of the international academic journal *Transportation*, and is active in professional organizations, particularly the Transportation Research Board. He holds engineering degrees from Duke University and Northwestern University and has taught at SUNY Albany, Union University and Syracuse University. His recent studies of congestion, urban growth and road performance for Reason Foundation (at www.reason.org), urban growth for the Urban Land Institute (at www.hartgengroup.net) and transit and congestion in North Carolina (at www.johnlocke.org) have attracted wide national attention. He can be contacted at david@hartgengroup.net, or by telephone at 980-237-1398, or cell 704-785-7366.

**M. Gregory Fields** is a retired military officer with degrees from West Point, Webster University in St. Louis and UNC Charlotte. He is a PhD candidate in the Geography and Urban Regional Analysis Program at UNC Charlotte and has participated in a number of comparative transportation studies including the John Locke Foundation's study of North Carolina highway cost effectiveness, the Fraser Institute's study of Canadian provinces, and Reason Foundation's studies of national congestion, city accessibility and productivity, and midsize city congestion. He has been a co-author of Reason's annual highway performance assessments for the last several years.

**Baruch Feigenbaum** is the assistant director of Transportation Policy at Reason Foundation. He has a diverse background researching and implementing transportation policy including public-private partnerships, highways, transit, high-speed rail, ports, intelligent transportation systems and land use. He is a member of the Transportation Research Board Bus Transit Systems and Intelligent Transportation Systems Committees. He is also vice president of membership of the Transportation Research Forum, Washington Chapter. He earned his Master's degree in Transportation Planning from the Georgia Institute of Technology.



5737 Mesmer Ave. Los Angeles, CA 90230 310-391-2245 reason.org

THIN STREET, ST